

## ANIMAL CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA		(CI)			
ΑI	<b>RS ID#:</b> 0111026 <b>DA</b>	TE: <u>3/29/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>830</u>		DEPART: <u>1100</u>			
FACILITY NAME: HUMANE SOCIETY OF BROWARD COUNTY								
FA	CILITY LOCATION	2070 GRIFFIN RD						
		FORT LAUDERDA	LE 33312					
CO	Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE: (HARRON CARMICHAEL  DD: 7/18/2008 / 7/18/2 (effective date) (end date)	2013	Mobile:	IONE: (954)989-3977 (954)989-3977			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
	Name(s) of facility rep  Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u>!</u>		(check ☑ box for each	•		
2.	Is the Authorized Reprise If no, who is?:	resentative still CHRISTOPF	HER AGOSTINO?		X Yes	□No		
3.	If different, did the fac Is the facility contact s If no, who is?: <u>Bob A</u>	ility provide an administrativ till SHARRON CARMICHA Inson	ve update within 30 days? AEL?		Yes Yes	□No ⊠No		
4.		eting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at leas				□No □No		

## Emissions Unit Section 3 -CRAWFORD C-1000P ANIMAL CREMATORY

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?</li> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the</li> </ol>	⊠ Yes	No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
<ol> <li>Manufacturer's recommended capacity:</li></ol>	☐ Yes	⊠No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li></ul>	□No □No
d. Date of last VE test: 4/20/2011  e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	No No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test? 200	_	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		⊠No □No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average.  f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		⊠No
a. Operating capacity during test? Dlss for batch unit Dlss/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	Yes	□No □No □No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?  (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute		□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard	rds?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		∠310

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	box for each	question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		⊠No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were Wind direction - NE Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected		rst)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures			
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		□No	
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas re time at \( \sum 1,800^1 \subseteq 1,600^2 \) degrees was determined?		□No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two year	s?		
(1) All temperature measurements		□No	
(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measu monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		□No	
(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)		\to	
(4) Adjustments	Yes	□No	
(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No	
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No	
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	X Yes	⊠No	
e. Was the crematory unit installed <b>after 2/1/07</b> ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)		□No	
<ul> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li> <li>(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever a</li> </ul>	X Yes	□No	
exceeds 15% opacity?	X Yes	□No	
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operati accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	question)	
1. If the application to construct was <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>14</b> 0	$M_0$ E		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No	
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before			
process begins in the primary chamber?		□No	
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:			
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than			
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	<del></del>	□No	
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before process begins in the primary chamber?		□No	
process begins in the primary enumber.			
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)	
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate the second control of the seco	opriate containers.		
are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics			
as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)						
Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufa     Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction?  Joes the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame charal If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once dearence by the flame adjusted when necessary?  PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (checked)	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No □No □No □No					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
Facility Section (continued)							
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>b</b> ox for each	only one question)				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	tion of the facility or any emissions uni Iministrative change at the facility? 's of the change?  acement?  t is substantially different?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>				
C.Pitters  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	3/29/2012  Date of Inspection 3/29/2013						
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp							
COMMENTS:							